



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/674,097

09/26/2003

Timothy J. Olah

KAN144/104044

2164

24030 7590 02/15/2007
SHUGHART THOMSON & KILROY, PC
120 WEST 12TH STREET
KANSAS CITY, MO 64105

EXAMINER

GILBERT, WILLIAM V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3635

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

02/15/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/674,097

Applicant(s)

OLAH, TIMOTHY J.

Examiner

William V. Gilbert

Art Unit

3635

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 December 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-13, 16-21 and 24-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-13, 16-21, 24-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This is a Second Action. Applicant cancelled Claims 1, 14, 15, 22 and 23. Claims 2-13, 16-21 and 24-26 are examined as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trimmer (U.S. Patent No. 3,447,771).

Claim 3: Trimmer discloses a panel (Figure 1, element 10) having a plurality of structural members including a pair of elongated frame side members (pair of members attached to end members), and a pair of end members (14) each having a planar side surface, the side and end members are joined at the ends to form a rectangular panel with a planar outer perimeter formed by the side surfaces, a deck member (12) secured to the top side

Art Unit: 3635

and enclosing the frame and a bracket member (30) which extends (inherent). The phrase "for connecting...said girders", lines 13, 14, is a statement of intended use of the claimed invention and must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Claim 3: the panel has fasteners (Figure 4, element 20). The phrase "enabling attachment...said girders", is a statement of intended use of the claimed invention and must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Claims 5 and 6: the phrases involving adapting the frames for enablement purposes is a statement of intended use of the claimed invention and must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Art Unit: 3635

Claim 7: the frame members have a C-shaped cross section and each member is positioned on the frame to enable the web to form a portion of the planar outer perimeter.

Claim 10: the panels are joined in an end-to-end fashion.

Claim 12: a generally rectangular framework is connected with a plurality of side members (proximate 14) in a spanning relationship.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gilbert (U.S. Patent No. 2,484,283).

Claim 4: Gilbert discloses a plurality of structural side and end members (Figure 1, elements 104 and 112 respectively) that are planar on their sides and are joined to form a rectangle, and a deck member (32) attached to the top side and enclosing the frame and a T-shaped bracket (Figure 2, element 38), the end of the bracket adapted for joining to a girder and the bracket flanges are fastened to the end member.

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Badstieber (U.S. Patent No. 5,160,640).

Claim 3: Badstieber discloses a panel (Figure 1) having a plurality of structural members with a pair of elongated frame side members (1) and end members (3) joined at the ends to form

Art Unit: 3635

a rectangular panel frame with a planar outer perimeter with top and bottom sides and a bracket (4) secured to an end member and extending from for connecting a panel to a girder.

Claim 8: one of the structural members is rectangular.

Claims 13, 16, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watson (U.S. Patent No. 4,603,532).

Claim 13: Watson discloses a panel assembly (Figure 3), in combination with girders (Figure 4, element 18) comprising a plurality of structural members including side member (7) and end members (8) having planar surfaces, the members joined at their ends to form a rectangular panel having a planar outer perimeter, a deck member (3) on top of the frame, each panel positioned on a pair of girders (18, opposite of 18) and having fasteners (22) to the girders, and adjacent panel assemblies (3) positioned on a same girder having end members connected by second fasteners.

Claim 16: the phrase "adapted to...panel assemblies", lines 5 and 6 is a statement of intended use of the claimed invention and must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art

Art Unit: 3635

structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Claim 17: the frame members have a C-shape cross-section and the webs form a planar outer perimeter.

Claim 19: the framework is connected with a plurality of side members in spanning relationship.

Claims 20, 21, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuyama (U.S. Patent No. 5,934,033).

Claim 20: Matsuyama discloses a panel system with girders comprising a plurality of panels in combination with girders (Figure 2, element 16) comprising a plurality of rectangular members with side and end members (11 and 12) joined at their ends to form a rectangular panel with a planar outer perimeter, a deck member (14) attached to the top side of each frame, the frame is joined to the girders by bracket members (24) and the panels are joined in side-by-side relation.

Claim 21: the frame members have a C-shaped cross section (Figure 3). The phrase, "enable the webs...each of said frames", lines 5 and 6 is a statement of intended use of the claimed invention and must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the

Art Unit: 3635

prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Claim 24: Matsuyama discloses a method of assembling panels by providing a plurality of panels (14), each having side and end members (11, 12) a bracket (24) attached to the deck member, lifting the deck on girders (16), fastening the assembly using the brackets (24), and placing a second assembly on a span between a pair of girders (Figure 1), fastening the second assembly using brackets (24), and fastening one of the first assembly members with an adjacent one of the second frame members (Figure 1).

Claim 25: the fastening is performed below the assembly.

Claim 26: fastening a second assembly on a span between one of a pair of existing girders (16) and an additional girder (16) in an end-to-end relationship with the first assembly (Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

Art Unit: 3635

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trimmer.

Claims 9 and 11: Trimmer discloses the panels are joined in an end-to-end relationship but not in a side-to-side relationship. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to join the panels in a side-to-side relationship in order to make the panels in Trimmer adjust to the desired overall size and the prior art of record is capable of being made in such a manner.

Claims 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Badstieber in view of Watson.

Claim 13: Badstieber discloses a panel assembly having a plurality of structural side and end members (2) where the members are joined at their ends to form a rectangular panel, a deck member (3) secured to the top of the frame. Badstieber does not actually disclose a girder attached to the panel or adjacent panel assemblies positioned on a same girder having end members connected by fasteners. Watson discloses a panel

Art Unit: 3635

assembly attached to girders (Figure 4, element 18). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the panel in Badstieber with girders as in Watson because the panel in Badstieber can be used in such a manner and girders are well known in the art to be used with panels.

Claim 18: at least one of the members has a tubular rectangular cross-section.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William V. Gilbert whose telephone number is 571.272.9055. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00 to 17:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571.272.6842. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 3635

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WVG
02/12/07

Patent
Examiner
2/9/07